

## ECOLOGICAL IMPACT OF ANTIMICROBIAL AGENTS ON HUMAN INTESTINAL MICROFLORA

CHARLOTTA EDLUND and CARL-ERIC NORD

Department of Microbiology, Huddinge University Hospital, Karolinska Institute,  
Stockholm, Sweden

### INTRODUCTION

Administration of antimicrobial agents has been shown to induce disturbances in the ecological balance of the intestinal microflora. The role of the normal microflora is still poorly understood, but there is evidence that alterations in the microflora may have important clinical consequences. One of the major functions of the endogenous gastrointestinal microflora is protection of new colonisation by potentially pathogenic microorganisms as well as protection of overgrowth of already present potentially pathogenic strains (*Edlund and Nord, 1991*). The anaerobic microflora outnumbers the aerobic and facultative anaerobic microflora with a factor of 1000:1. Experimental animal studies and studies on human volunteers and patients imply that the anaerobic part of the normal microflora plays a dominant role in protecting against potentially pathogenic microorganisms (*van der Waaij et al., 1986*). Most endogenous infections are caused by Gram-negative aerobic microorganisms, but Gram-positive and anaerobic infections originating from the gastrointestinal tract are also a severe problem in immunocompromised patients and in patients undergoing gastrointestinal surgery.

The intestinal microflora can be affected by incomplete absorption of orally administered agents and by secretion of the antimicrobial agent by the salivary glands, in the bile and from the intestinal mucosa. Antimicrobial agents

are often inactivated to variable extent in the intestines by bacterial enzymes or by binding to bacteria and other faecal components. Nevertheless, the remaining activity in the gastrointestinal tract of many antimicrobial agents is sufficiently high to disturb the ecological balance. A decrease in the number of microorganisms due to antimicrobial therapy may lead to several unwanted effects (*Edlund and Nord, 1991*). One is overgrowth of already present microorganisms with natural resistance such as yeasts, which can cause systemic infections in immunocompromised patients and *Clostridium difficile*, which can lead to life-threatening diarrhoea and colitis. Secondly, establishment of new resistant pathogenic bacteria, mainly aerobic Gram-negative rods, which can colonise other areas of the host, may occur. A third effect is that bacterial overgrowth also promotes transfer of genetic elements carrying resistance factors among bacteria and bacterial groups.

It is of great clinical importance to gain knowledge about the ecological effects of different antimicrobial agents on the gastrointestinal microflora. During the last 15 years the impact of different antimicrobial agents on the human gastrointestinal microflora has been studied by several investigators. In a previous article we have reviewed the literature dealing with this subject (*Nord and Edlund, 1990*). Since then, several new antimicrobial agents and new

routes of administration of older agents have been investigated. We therefore find it necessary to update the previous

data concerning ecological impact of antimicrobial agents.

## IMPACT OF PENICILLINS ON THE INTESTINAL MICROFLORA

### Phenoxymethylpenicillin

The impact of phenoxymethylpenicillin on the intestinal microflora was investigated by *Heimdahl* and *Nord* (1979a). Ten volunteers were given 800 mg phenoxymethylpenicillin capsules b.i.d. for 7 days. No antibiotic activity was found in the faecal samples during the observation period and no changes in the intestinal microflora were noticed (Table 1).

### Ampicillin

*Knothe* and *Wiedemann* (1965) investigated the effect on the intestinal microflora of peroral daily administration of 1000 to 3000 mg ampicillin during five days to ten volunteers. The numbers of *Escherichia coli*, enterococci, bifidobacteria and anaerobic Gram-negative rods were significantly reduced, while an increased number of ampicillin-resistant *Citrobacter*, *Klebsiella*, and *Proteus* species was observed (Table 1).

*Leigh* (1979) studied the impact of peroral administration of ampicillin. Ten volunteers received 500 mg t.i.d. for five days. An increase in the numbers of enterobacteria was observed in six volunteers and two volunteers had overgrowth of *Candida* species. Five volunteers developed diarrhoea, which lasted for 1-2 days (Table 1).

### Ampicillin/sulbactam

The influence of ampicillin plus sulbactam on the colonic microflora in patients undergoing colorectal surgery was studied by *Kager* et al. (1982). Ampicillin and sulbactam was given intravenously to 21 patients in 500 mg doses of each agent every eight hour for

two days. Ampicillin was detected in faeces in the range of 0.1 to 21.6 mg/kg in 11 patients. No changes in the number of streptococci, enterococci, and enterobacteria were observed while anaerobic cocci, bifidobacteria, eubacteria, lactobacilli, and *Bacteroides* decreased in numbers. No new colonising aerobic or anaerobic bacteria were recovered during the investigation period. After two weeks the anaerobic microflora was normalised in all patients (Table 1).

In another study *Kager* et al. (1983a) investigated the effect of ampicillin and sulbactam in dosages of 1000 and 2000 mg, respectively, every eight hour for two days on the colon microflora in ten patients undergoing colorectal surgery. The numbers of streptococci, enterococci, enterobacteria, anaerobic cocci, anaerobic Gram-positive and Gram-negative rods decreased significantly during the prophylaxis period. The aerobic and anaerobic microflora was normalised in all patients after two weeks (Table 1).

### Amoxicillin

The effect of 2000 mg amoxicillin per day for 15 or more days on the intestinal microflora in eight patients with respiratory tract infections was investigated by *Gipponi* et al. (1985). The total levels of microorganisms were reduced in four patients and three patients had increased numbers of *Candida* (Table 1).

The impact on the intestinal microflora in ten healthy volunteers receiving 250 mg amoxicillin t.i.d. perorally for five days was investigated by *Leigh* (1979). The number of enterobacteria was significantly increased in four vol-

unteers during the administration period. None of the volunteers developed diarrhoea (Table 1).

Forty-four patients received 250 mg amoxicillin t.i.d. orally for seven days as treatment for lower respiratory tract infections and the impact on the intestinal microflora was evaluated (*Christensson et al.*, 1991). The intestinal microflora was partly influenced by amoxicillin treatment. There was a significant increase in the numbers of enterobacteria, anaerobic Gram-positive rods and *Bacteroides* (Table 1).

*Brismar et al.* (1993a) investigated the effect of amoxicillin on the normal intestinal microflora. Ten healthy volunteers were given 500 mg amoxicillin tablets every 8 hour for seven days. A minor decrease was observed in the numbers of streptococci, staphylococci and eubacteria. There was an overgrowth of *Klebsiella* in six volunteers and of *Enterobacter* in two volunteers. No overgrowth of *C. difficile* or yeast was observed (Table 1).

#### **Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid**

The effect of amoxicillin alone compared with amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid on the intestinal microflora was studied by *Mittermayer* (1983). Six volunteers received 500 mg amoxicillin and six volunteers 500 mg amoxicillin plus 125 mg clavulanic acid t.i.d. for seven days. The total number of aerobic and anaerobic bacteria was not affected by either treatment. The number of amoxicillin-resistant enterobacteria increased significantly in both groups of volunteers. Selection of amoxicillin-clavulanic acid-resistant enterobacteria occurred only in those volunteers receiving amoxicillin-clavulanic acid. No significant changes in the number of *Pseudomonas*, *Bacteroides*, or yeasts were observed during or after treatment (Table 1).

*Lambert-Zechovsky et al.* (1984a) studied the effect of amoxicillin-clavulanic acid on the intestinal flora in children. Seven patients received the antibiotic combination orally and four patients parenterally in a dosage of 27.5 mg/kg body weight q.i.d. during 10 to 11 days. Oral administration caused a greater impact on the microflora than did parenteral administration. An increase in amoxicillin-resistant *E. coli* strains was noticed after antibiotic treatment had stopped. No other significant changes in the intestinal microflora occurred (Table 1).

*Wise and co-workers* (1984) studied the effect of amoxicillin-clavulanic acid on the intestinal microflora in six subjects. The volunteers were given 500 mg amoxicillin and 250 mg clavulanic acid every eight hour for three days. No major changes in the intestinal flora were observed. One volunteer developed diarrhoea (Table 1).

The impact of amoxicillin-clavulanic acid on the intestinal microflora in eight volunteers was investigated by *Motohiro et al.* (1985). Four subjects were given 187.5 mg tablets and four subjects were given 375 mg tablets (ratio amoxicillin-clavulanic acid 2:1) t.i.d. for five days. The staphylococci were strongly suppressed while the number of enterobacteria increased significantly in both groups. The number of enterococci increased after the administration had stopped. There were no changes in the anaerobic intestinal microflora. Diarrhoea was observed in one subject in each group (Table 1).

*Brumfitt et al.* (1986) studied the effects on the intestinal microflora in six volunteers receiving 250 mg amoxicillin plus 125 mg clavulanic acid t.i.d. for seven days. Staphylococci were eliminated and the number of streptococci decreased significantly during the administration period. No other significant changes were observed (Table 1).

**Table 1:** Impact of penicillins on the intestinal microflora

Agent	Dose (mg/day)	Days of administration	Number of patients	Impact on:			Overgrowth of resistant strains			Reference
				Enterobacteria	Aerobic Gr <sup>+</sup> cocci	Anaerobic bacteria	Enterobacteria	<i>C. diff-icile</i>	<i>Candida</i>	
Phenoxymethylpenicillin	800x2	7	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	Heimdahl & Nord, 1979a
Ampicillin	1000-3000	5	10	↓↓	↓↓	↓↓	-	-	-	Knothe & Wiedemann, 1965
	500x3	5	10	↑	-	-	+	-	+	Leigh, 1979
Ampicillin/sulbactam	500x3	2	21	-	-	↓	-	-	-	Kager et al., 1982
	1000x3	2		↓	↓	↓	-	-	-	Kager et al., 1983a
	2000x3	2		↓	↓	↓	-	-	-	Kager et al., 1983a
Amoxicillin	2000	≥15	8	↓	↓	↓	-	-	+	Gipponi et al., 1985
	250x3	5	10	↑	-	-	-	-	-	Leigh, 1979
	250x3	7	44	↑	-	↑	-	-	-	Christensson et al., 1991
	500x3	7	10	-	↓	-	+	-	-	Brismar et al., 1993a
	500x3	7	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	Mittermayer, 1983
Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid	500/125x3	7	6	-	-	-	+	-	-	Mittermayer, 1983
	27.5mg/kgx4	10-11	11	-	-	-	+	-	-	Lambert-Zechovsky, 1984a
	500/250x3	3	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	Wise et al., 1984
	187.5x3 (2/1)*	5	4	↑	↓	-	+	-	-	Motohiro et al., 1985
	375x3 (2/1)*	5	4	↑	↓	-	+	-	-	Motohiro et al., 1985
	250/125x3	7	6	-	↓	↓	+	-	-	Brunfitt et al., 1986
Bacampicillin	400x3	7	12	-	-	↓	-	-	-	Heimdahl et al., 1979
	1600	≥15	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	Gipponi et al., 1985
Pivampicillin	700x4	3	10	↑	-	-	+	-	+	Knothe & Lembke, 1973
Azlocillin	5000x3	7-8	6	↓	↓	↓	+	-	-	Nord et al., 1986
Piperacillin	4000x3	2	20	↓	↓	↓	-	-	-	Kager et al., 1983b
Piperacillin/Tazobactam	4000/500x3	4-8	20	↓	↓	-	-	-	-	Nord et al., 1993

**Table 1:** Impact of penicillins on the intestinal microflora (continued)

Agent	Dose (mg/day)	Days of administration	Number of patients	Impact on:			Overgrowth of resistant strains		Reference
				Enterobacteria	Aerobic Gr <sup>+</sup> cocci	Anaerobic bacteria	Enterobacteria	<i>C. diff-icile</i>	
Talampicillin	250x3	5	10	↑	-	-	+	-	Leigh, 1979
Pivmecillinam	600x4	7	10	↓↓	↑	↓	-	-	Knothe, 1976
	400x3	7	5	↓	↑	↓	-	-	Knothe, 1976
Ticarcillin/ clavulanic acid	5000/200x3	7	10	↓	↑	-	-	-	Nord et al., 1989

↓↓: strong suppression, >4 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g faeces.

↓: mild to moderate suppression, 2-4 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g faeces.

↑: increase in number of microorganisms during therapy.

-: no significant change.

\*: ratio amoxicillin/clavulanic acid.

### **Bacampicillin**

*Heimdahl* et al. (1979b) investigated the effect of bacampicillin on the colon microflora. Bacampicillin was given as tablets or syrup in doses of 400 mg t.i.d. for seven days to 12 subjects. No changes in the colon microflora were observed in the volunteers receiving tablets, while there was a decrease in the numbers of anaerobic bacteria in those volunteers taking syrup. No ampicillin was detected in the faecal samples during the investigation period and no increased resistance to ampicillin was observed in the colon microflora (Table 1).

The impact of bacampicillin on the intestinal microflora in eight patients receiving 1600 mg daily for at least 15 days was investigated by *Gipponi* (1985). Moderate microbial changes were observed in two of the patients. No *C. difficile* strains or toxins were isolated (Table 1).

### **Pivampicillin**

The impact of pivampicillin on the intestinal microflora was investigated by *Knothe* and *Lembke* (1973). Ten volunteers received a dose of 700 mg q.i.d. for three days. Pivampicillin caused minor changes in the flora. The numbers of *E. coli* and *Candida* increased in seven respectively three volunteers (Table 1).

### **Talampicillin**

*Leigh* (1979) studied the effect of talampicillin on the intestinal microflora. Ten volunteers were given talampicillin perorally in a dosage of 250 mg t.i.d. for five days. Six subjects had considerable increase in the numbers of enterobacteria and one volunteer developed diarrhoea (Table 1).

### **Azlocillin**

The impact of parenteral azlocillin treatment on the intestinal microflora in

six patients receiving 5000 mg azlocillin t.i.d. for seven to eight days was investigated by *Nord* et al. (1986). The numbers of *E. coli* and streptococci were suppressed markedly in two patients and in one patient the enterococci decreased. The numbers of anaerobic cocci, lactobacilli, clostridia and *Bacteroides* were also markedly decreased in two patients. No patients harboured *C. difficile* or had cytotoxin in their faecal samples during or after azlocillin treatment (Table 1).

### **Piperacillin**

The influence of piperacillin on the colonic microflora in patients undergoing colorectal surgery was investigated by *Kager* et al. (1983). Piperacillin was given parenterally in doses of 4000 mg every eight hour for 48 hours to 20 patients undergoing colorectal surgery. Enterococci, streptococci, and enterobacteria decreased in five of the patients while anaerobic Gram-positive cocci and rods, fusobacteria and *Bacteroides* decreased in seven of the patients during the administration period. After the piperacillin treatment, the aerobic and anaerobic colon microflora returned to the pre-treatment levels in most patients (Table 1).

### **Piperacillin/Tazobactam**

The effect of piperacillin/tazobactam on the intestinal microflora was studied in 20 patients with intra-abdominal infections (*Nord* et al., 1993). The patients received 4000 mg piperacillin combined with 500 mg tazobactam t.i.d. by intravenous injection during four to eight days. The numbers of enterobacteria and enterococci were slightly decreased during treatment. There was a minor decrease in the numbers of bifidobacteria, eubacteria, lactobacilli, clostridia and veillonella while the numbers of anaerobic Gram-positive cocci and *Bacteroides* were not influ-

enced by the treatment. None of the patients had *Clostridium difficile* or its cytotoxin in faeces or developed diarrhoea. After therapy, the aerobic and anaerobic microflora returned to normal levels in all patients (Table 1).

### **Pivmecillinam**

*Knothe* (1976) investigated the impact on the intestinal microflora of pivmecillinam. Ten volunteers were given 600 mg q.i.d. and five volunteers were given 400 mg t.i.d. for seven days, respectively. The changes were more pronounced after the higher dose of pivmecillinam. The numbers of *E. coli*, lactobacilli, and *Bacteroides* were significantly decreased while the numbers of enterococci increased (Table 1).

### **Ticarcillin/clavulanic acid**

The influence of ticarcillin/clavulanic acid on the intestinal microflora was investigated by *Nord et al.* (1989). Ten volunteers were given 5000 mg ticarcillin plus 200 mg clavulanate intravenously t.i.d. for seven days. The numbers of enterococci and streptococci slightly increased, while there was a

small decrease in the number of enterobacteria. Minor changes in the anaerobic microflora were observed. No measurable faecal concentrations of ticarcillin or clavulanate were found (Table 1).

### **Comments**

Administration of ampicillin led to a strong suppression of both the aerobic and the anaerobic intestinal microflora. Phenoxyethylpenicillin and acid-resistant derivatives of ampicillin like amoxicillin, bacampicillin, pivampicillin and talampicillin caused only minor suppression of the normal microflora, although overgrowth of resistant enterobacteria were observed. Administration of broad-spectrum penicillins, like azlocillin, piperacillin, pivmecillinam and ticarcillin resulted in marked changes in the intestinal microflora with strong suppression of the aerobic Gram-negative bacteria and often overgrowth of enterococci. These agents affected the anaerobic microflora only to a minor degree. None of the penicillins caused any major overgrowth of *C. difficile* or yeasts.

## **IMPACT OF PARENTERAL CEPHALOSPORINS ON THE INTESTINAL MICROFLORA**

### **Cefazolin**

*Vogel and Knothe* (1985) investigated the impact of cefazolin on the aerobic intestinal microflora in five patients receiving cefazolin (60-80 mg/kg per day). Treatment with cefazolin did not induce any changes in the aerobic flora except for colonisation with *Pseudomonas* species (Table 2).

### **Cefbuperazone**

The influence of cefbuperazone on the intestinal microflora in patients undergoing colorectal surgery was studied

by *Kager et al.* (1986). Ten patients were given cefbuperazone intravenously in a dose of 1000 mg at induction of anaesthesia, followed by a subsequent dose of 1000 mg 12 hours after the first dose. The cefbuperazone concentration in the faecal samples varied between 0 and 27.0 mg/kg. Streptococci, enterococci and enterobacteria were suppressed significantly during the prophylaxis period. Among the anaerobic bacteria, cocci, bifidobacteria, eubacteria, lactobacilli, clostridia, fusobacteria and *Bacteroides* decreased markedly

**Table 2:** Impact of parenterally administered cephalosporins on the intestinal microflora

Agent	Dose (mg/day)	Days of administration	Number of patients	Impact on:			Overgrowth of resistant strains			Reference
				Enterobacteria	Aerobic Gr <sup>+</sup> cocci	Anaerobic bacteria	Enterobacteria	<i>C. diff-icile</i>	<i>Candida</i>	
Cefazolin	60-80mg/kg	4-11	5	-	-	a	+	-	-	Vogel & Knothe, 1985
Cefbuperazone	1000x2	1	10	↓	↓	↓	-	-	-	Kager et al., 1986
Cefepime	1000x2	8	8	↓	-	-	-	-	-	Bächer et al., 1992
Cefmenoxime	4000	3	15	↓	-	-	-	-	+	Knothe et al., 1985
Cefoperazone	2000x2	7-14	28	↓↓	↑↑	↓↓	-	+	-	Alestig et al., 1983
	100mg/kg	4-7	16	↓↓	↓↓	↓	-	-	+	Lambert-Zechovskyy, 1984b
Cefotaxime	100mg/kg	a	26	↓	↑	-	+	-	-	Guggenbichler & Kofler, 1984
	60-80mg/kg	4-11	11	-	-	a	+	-	-	Lambert-Zechovskyy, 1985
Cefotiam	a	a	6	↓	-	a	-	-	-	Vogel & Knothe, 1985
	6000	3	15	↓	-	-	+	-	+	Guggenbichler & Kofler, 1984
Cefoxitin	2000x4	2	20	↓	↑	↓	+	-	-	Knothe et al., 1985
	6000-12000	8-23	6	↓	↑	↑	+	+	-	Kager et al., 1981a
Ceftazidime	4000	1	8	↓	-	-	-	-	-	Mulligan et al., 1984
Ceftizoxime	4000	1	8	↓	-	-	+	-	-	Knothe et al., 1985
Ceftriaxone	1500x2	7-13	12	↓↓	↓↓	↓	-	+	+	Knothe et al., 1985
	?	?	9	↓↓	-	a	+	-	+	Nilsson-Ehle et al., 1985
Moxalactam	2000	1	10	↓↓	-	-	-	-	-	Guggenbichler & Kofler, 1984
	1000	5	10	a	a	↓	-	+	-	Cavallaro et al., 1992
Moxalactam	2000	1	10	↓	↑	↓	-	-	-	Welling et al., 1991
	2000x3	1	10	↓	↑	↓	-	-	-	Kager et al., 1984

↓↓: strong suppression, >4 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g faeces.

↓: mild to moderate suppression, 2-4 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g faeces.

↑: increase in number of microorganisms during therapy.

-: no significant change.

a: no data available

during the same period. The microflora was normalised in all patients after four weeks (Table 2).

### **Cefepime**

The impact of cefepime on the intestinal microflora was studied by *Bächer et al.* (26). Eight volunteers received cefepime 1000 mg bid by constant infusion over 30 min for eight days. A decrease in the number of *E. coli* and bifidobacteria in faeces was observed, whereas *Bacteroides* spp. and *Clostridia* spp. showed a slight increase. The number of intestinal bacteria returned to normal 20 to 48 days after the study was completed (Table 2).

### **Cefmenoxime**

The impact of cefmenoxime on the intestinal flora was evaluated by *Knothe et al.* (1992). Fifteen volunteers were given 4000 mg cefmenoxime daily intravenously for three days. The numbers of enterobacteria, bifidobacteria, and lactobacilli decreased significantly while the numbers of clostridia and *Candida* increased (Table 2).

### **Cefoperazone**

The effect of cefoperazone on the intestinal microflora was investigated by *Alestig et al.* (1983). Twenty-eight patients were treated with 2000 mg cefoperazone b.i.d. intravenously for seven to 14 days. Enterobacteria, staphylococci and streptococci were significantly suppressed in numbers and the enterococci increased in most patients during and after cefoperazone treatment. There was a major decrease in the numbers of anaerobic cocci, *Bacteroides*, fusobacteria, bifidobacteria, eubacteria, and lactobacilli. Eight patients had *C. difficile* and its cytotoxin and five of these patients developed diarrhoea (Table 2).

The effect of cefoperazone on the intestinal microflora in 16 children was studied by *Lambert-Zechovsky et al.*

(1984b). Streptococci, staphylococci, and enterobacteria were markedly reduced in numbers in 13 patients. Selection of cefoperazone-resistant yeast was observed in seven patients (Table 2).

*Guggenbichler and Kofler* (1984) analysed the impact of cefoperazone treatment on the aerobic intestinal microflora in five children with narcotising enterocolitis or septicaemia. Cefoperazone eliminated all susceptible enterobacteria within the first 24 hours and overgrowth with enterococci and *Candida* was seen. Resistant *Serratia*, *Klebsiella* and *Enterobacter* strains were isolated from two patients (Table 2).

### **Cefotaxime**

*Lambert-Zechovsky et al.* (1985) studied the impact of cefotaxime on the intestinal microflora in 26 hospitalised children. Cefotaxime was given intravenously in doses of 100 mg/kg/day. The numbers of enterobacteria decreased while there was an increase in resistant enterococci during the cefotaxime treatment. Intestinal colonisation of *Pseudomonas* strains also occurred. No significant changes in the anaerobic microflora were observed (Table 2).

The impact of cefotaxime on the aerobic microflora in 11 patients was investigated by *Vogel and Knothe* (1985). Cefotaxime was given in a dosage of 60-80 mg/kg/day. Colonisation with *Pseudomonas* was frequently found. No other major ecological alterations were observed during treatment (Table 2).

The influence of cefotaxime treatment on the faecal aerobic microflora in six children with septicaemia, pulmonary or urinary tract infections was investigated by *Guggenbichler and Kofler* (1984). The numbers of aerobic bacteria decreased moderately during treatment but no emergence of resistant strains was observed. No cefotaxime was found in the faecal samples (Table 2).

### **Cefotiam**

The impact of cefotiam in a dose of 6000 mg/day for three days on the intestinal microflora was determined by *Knothe et al.* (1985). The numbers of enterobacteria and lactobacilli were significantly suppressed while the numbers of *Pseudomonas* and *Candida* increased. No other changes in the microflora were observed (Table 2).

### **Cefoxitin**

The impact of cefoxitin prophylaxis on the colon microflora in patients undergoing colorectal surgery was investigated by *Kager et al.* (1981). Cefoxitin was administered intravenously in doses of 2000 mg every six hour for two days to 20 patients. The cefoxitin concentrations in the faecal samples were between 1.5 and 35.5 mg/kg. In the aerobic microflora, cefoxitin-sensitive *E. coli* and other enterobacteria decreased significantly while cefoxitin-resistant enterococci, enterobacteria, and *Pseudomonas* proliferated. Among the anaerobic bacteria, *Bacteroides fragilis* and fusobacteria decreased significantly. After the antibiotic prophylaxis had stopped all cefoxitin-resistant bacterial strains decreased while the suppressed *E. coli* and *B. fragilis* strains increased (Table 2).

The effect of cefoxitin treatment on the intestinal microflora in six patients was studied by *Mulligan et al.* (1984). The patients received cefoxitin in daily doses of 6000 to 12000 mg for eight to 23 days. There were a proliferation of enterococci, coagulase-negative staphylococci, cefoxitin-resistant enterobacteria, *Pseudomonas* spp. and *Bacteroides fragilis*. *C. difficile* strains were isolated from five patients (Table 2).

### **Ceftazidime**

*Knothe et al.* (1985) studied the effect of ceftazidime on the gut flora in eight volunteers. The volunteers re-

ceived ceftazidime intravenously in a dose of 4000 mg for one day. The enterobacteria and the lactobacilli decreased considerably, while no effect on other microorganisms in the flora could be observed (Table 2).

### **Ceftizoxime**

The influence of ceftizoxime on the intestinal flora in eight volunteers receiving 4000 mg ceftizoxime during one day was investigated by *Knothe et al.* (1985). Ceftizoxime administration significantly reduced the numbers of susceptible enterobacteria while *Citrobacter* and *Proteus* increased in numbers. No effect on enterococci, lactobacilli, and *Bacteroides* was observed (Table 2).

### **Ceftriaxone**

*Nilsson-Ehle, et al.* (1985) studied the influence of ceftriaxone on the intestinal microflora in 12 patients with acute bacterial infections. The patients received 1500 mg ceftriaxone b.i.d. for seven to 13 days. The numbers of aerobic bacteria decreased significantly in all patients except two. These two patients had the lowest biliary clearance of ceftriaxone. In six patients overgrowth with *Candida* and *Torulopsis* was noticed during the treatment period. The aerobic microflora was normalised in all patients except one after one month. In the anaerobic microflora the cocci, bifidobacteria, eubacteria, *Bacteroides*, and fusobacteria decreased significantly. The two patients with low biliary excretion had only minor changes in the anaerobic flora. One patient had *C. difficile* and cytotoxin in faeces during and after treatment and had concomitant diarrhoea (Table 2).

The effect of ceftriaxone on the aerobic intestinal microflora in nine children with septicaemia was investigated by *Guggenbichler and Kofler* (1984). The aerobic susceptible Gram-negative bacteria was eradicated from the microflora

within 48 hours while *Klebsiella*, *Enterobacter*, *Citrobacter*, *Serratia*, and *E. coli* strains acquired resistance during therapy. Enterococci and *Candida albicans* dominated the aerobic microflora during treatment. Reappearance of aerobic Gram-negative bacteria was observed in all patients except two after the treatment had stopped (Table 2).

The influence of ceftriaxone on intestinal flora was investigated by Cavallaro et al. (1992) in ten patients undergoing colorectal surgery. Ceftriaxone were given intravenously in one 2000 mg dose before anaesthesia. The aerobic faecal microflora was considerably affected, enterobacteria were eliminated or strongly suppressed in all patients, while there was only minor changes in the number of aerobic Gram-positive bacteria. The anaerobic microflora showed only minor alterations. No new colonising microorganisms were isolated during the investigation period and no colonisation with ceftriaxone-resistant bacteria was observed (Table 2).

Welling et al (1991) studied the effect of ceftriaxone on the anaerobic intestinal microflora. Ten healthy volunteers received 1000 mg of ceftriaxone intramuscularly for five days. Ceftriaxone treatment resulted in a significant decrease in the total number of anaerobic microorganisms. Two patients were colonised by toxin negative *C. difficile* strains during the administration period (Table 2).

### **Moxalactam**

Kager et al. (1984) studied the effect of single-dose as compared to three-dose prophylaxis with moxalactam on the colon microflora in patients undergoing colorectal surgery. Twenty patients were given an initial dose of 2000 mg moxalactam at the induction of anaesthesia. Ten of the patients received two subsequent doses at eight-hour intervals. The moxalactam concentrations in faeces varied between 0.2 and 23.0 mg/kg. There were no differences between the patients receiving one dose of moxalactam and those receiving three doses. Enterobacteria were markedly suppressed during the prophylaxis period, while streptococci and enterococci proliferated from day three to day five. Among the anaerobic bacteria, cocci, lactobacilli, bifidobacteria, clostridia, *Bacteroides*, and fusobacteria decreased significantly during the same period. After two weeks the microflora was normalised in all patients (Table 2).

### **Comments**

Parenterally administered cephalosporins seemed in general to cause moderate effects on the intestinal microflora mostly in form of suppression of enterobacteria. However, administration of cefoperazone and ceftriaxone also led to a considerably decrease in the numbers of aerobic Gram-positive and anaerobic microorganisms. Most parenterally administered cephalosporins led to overgrowth of resistant enterobacteria and also sometimes to colonisation of *C. difficile* and yeasts.

## **IMPACT OF ORAL CEPHALOSPORINS ON THE INTESTINAL MICROFLORA**

### **Cefaclor**

Finegold et al. (1987) investigated the impact of cefaclor on the intestinal microflora in six volunteers receiving

cefaclor orally 250 mg t.i.d. for 14 days. The aerobic flora was not significantly altered by cefaclor administration. In the anaerobic microflora bifido-

bacteria were eliminated in two subjects. Three volunteers were colonised by *C. difficile*, but none developed colitis (Table 3).

Cefaclor was given orally to 10 volunteers in doses of 250 mg t.i.d. for seven days by Nord et al. (1987). The aerobic intestinal microflora was not affected by cefaclor administration, while minor changes in the anaerobic intestinal microflora was observed. There was no new colonisation with cefaclor-resistant bacteria (Table 3).

Forty patients with lower respiratory tract infections were treated with 250 mg cefaclor orally t.i.d. for seven days, and the ecological alterations in the intestinal microflora were evaluated (Christensson et al., 1991). The numbers of streptococci, staphylococci and anaerobic cocci decreased significantly, while enterococci, enterobacteria, *Bacteroides* and *Candida albicans* increased significantly in numbers during cefaclor treatment (Table 3).

### **Cefixime**

Finegold et al. (1987) evaluated the influence of 400 mg orally administered cefixime daily for 14 days on the intestinal microflora in six healthy subjects. A significant decrease in the numbers of *E. coli* occurred. In four subjects the enterococci increased markedly. In the anaerobic microflora, bifidobacteria disappeared from two subjects, clostridia from three subjects, and *B. fragilis* from one subject. *C. difficile* was isolated from four subjects without severe gastrointestinal symptoms. No development of resistance among the aerobic or anaerobic bacteria was seen (Table 3).

The ecological effects on the normal intestinal microflora in ten healthy persons after 200 mg cefixime tablets bid for seven days was studied by Nord et al. (1988). The numbers of streptococci and *E. coli* decreased while the numbers

of enterococci increased during the administration of cefixime. In the anaerobic microflora, the numbers of cocci, clostridia and *Bacteroides* were suppressed significantly. *C. difficile* was isolated from 5 volunteers but cytotoxin was only detected in one volunteer. The intestinal microflora was normalised within two weeks after treatment had stopped (Table 3).

### **Cefpodoxime-proxetil**

Brismar et al. (1993a) investigated the effect of cefpodoxime-proxetil on the normal intestinal microflora. Ten healthy volunteers were given 200 mg cefpodoxime-proxetil tablets every 12 hour for seven days. The numbers of streptococci, enterobacteria and clostridia were strongly reduced in the faecal flora, while there was an overgrowth of enterococci. Beta-lactamase activity was detected in six subjects. Three volunteers had high concentrations of cefpodoxime in faeces and no detectable beta-lactamase activities. These subjects were colonised by *C. difficile* and yeast (Table 3).

### **Cefprozil**

Lode et al. (1992) studied the multiple-dose pharmacokinetics of orally administered cefprozil and its impact on intestinal microflora of healthy volunteers in a randomised double-blind placebo controlled trial. Eight volunteers received cefprozil 500 mg b.i.d. for eight days. Analysis of the faecal microflora showed a limited ecological impact of cefprozil on the intestinal microflora, such as a moderate decrease in enterobacteria and a slight increase in enterococci, staphylococci and *Bacteroides* during the study. *C. difficile* strains were detected in three volunteers but no toxins could be found. Four days after the administration period the number of all bacteria was normalised (Table 3).

**Table 3:** Impact of perorally administered cephalosporins on the intestinal microflora

Agent	Dose (mg/day)	Days of administration	Number of patients	Impact on:			Overgrowth of resistant strains			Reference
				Enterobacteria	Aerobic Gr <sup>+</sup> cocci	Anaerobic bacteria	Enterobacteria	<i>C. diff-icile</i>	<i>Candida</i>	
Cefaclor	250x3	14	6	-	-	-	-	+	-	<i>Finegold et al., 1987</i>
	250x3	7	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	<i>Nord et al., 1987</i>
	250x3	7	40	-	↓	-	+	-	+	<i>Christensson et al., 1991</i>
Cefixime	400	14	6	↓	↑	↓	-	+	-	<i>Finegold et al., 1987</i>
	200x2	7	10	↓	↑	↓↓	-	+	-	<i>Nord et al., 1988</i>
Cefpodoxime-proxetil	200x2	7	10	↓↓	↓↑	↓	-	+	+	<i>Brismar et al., 1993a</i>
Cefprozil	500x2	8	8	↓	↑	-	-	-	-	<i>Lode et al., 1992</i>
Ceftibuten	400x1	10	14	↓	↑	-	-	+	+	<i>Brismar et al., 1993b</i>
Cefuroxime-Axetil	600x3	3	6	↓	↓	↓	-	-	+	<i>Wise et al., 1984</i>
	250x2	10	10	-	↑	-	-	+	+	<i>Edlund et al., 1993</i>
Cephadrine	1000x2	7	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	<i>Brunffitt et al., 1986</i>
Loracarbef	200x2	7	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	<i>Nord et al., 1991</i>

↓↓: strong suppression, >4 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g faeces.

↓: mild to moderate suppression, 2-4 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g faeces.

↑: increase in number of microorganisms during therapy.

-: no significant change.

### **Ceftibuten**

The effect of ceftibuten on the normal intestinal microflora was studied in fourteen healthy subjects given 400 mg ceftibuten tablets once daily for ten days (Brismar et al., 1993b). The numbers of *E. coli* and anaerobic cocci were partly reduced, while there was an overgrowth of enterococci during the administration period. Six volunteers were colonised by *C. difficile* during days 4 to 17. Beta-lactamase activity was detected in faecal samples from eight volunteers (Table 3).

### **Cefuroxime-axetil**

The effect of cefuroxime-axetil on the intestinal microflora in six healthy subjects was studied by Wise et al. (1984). The volunteers were given 600 mg cefuroxime-axetil orally every eight hours for three days. The number of enterobacteria decreased in three volunteers who developed diarrhoea. The enterococci were also significantly suppressed and the numbers of *Candida* increased in two of these three volunteers. *Bacteroides*, peptococci, and peptostreptococci decreased significantly in three volunteers (Table 3).

Edlund et al (1993) studied the impact of cefuroxime-axetil on the normal intestinal microflora. Ten healthy volunteers were given 250 mg cefuroxime-axetil tablets b.i.d. for ten days. There was an overgrowth of enterococci and staphylococci while the levels of bifidobacteria and clostridia decreased during the administration period. The numbers of enterobacteria, eubacteria and *Bacteroides* were unaffected. Low cefuroxime concentrations in faeces corresponded to high beta-lactamase activities and minor alterations in the normal microflora, while high cefuroxime con-

centrations in faeces corresponded to low beta-lactamase activities and considerable ecological disturbances in the intestinal microflora (Table 3).

### **Cephradine**

Brumfitt et al. (1986) studied the effects on the intestinal microflora in six volunteers receiving 1000 mg cephradine b.i.d. for seven days. Staphylococci were eliminated during administration while no other significant changes were observed (Table 3).

### **Locarbef**

Nord et al. (1991) studied the effect of Loracarbef on the normal intestinal microflora. Twenty healthy volunteers received loracarbef capsules 200 mg bid for seven days. In the intestinal aerobic microflora the numbers of enterococci and streptococci increased slightly, while staphylococci, micrococci, corynebacteria, bacillus and enterobacteria were not affected. The numbers of bifidobacteria and eubacteria in the anaerobic microflora decreased, while no other bacterial groups were affected. One week after withdrawal of loracarbef, the intestinal microflora had returned to normal. No new colonising loracarbef resistant microorganisms were observed during the investigation period (Table 3).

### **Comments**

Orally administered cephalosporins often resulted in minor decreases in the number of enterobacteria. However cefixime and high doses of cefuroxime-axetil led to alterations in the anaerobic microflora. Most orally administered cephalosporins were associated with an increase in the numbers of enterococci and colonisation with *C. difficile*.

## IMPACT OF MONOBACTAMS AND CARBAPENEMS ON THE INTESTINAL MICROFLORA

### Aztreonam

The impact of aztreonam on the colonic microflora in 20 patients undergoing colorectal surgery was studied by Kager et al. (1985). Aztreonam was given intravenously in a dose of 1000 mg at induction of anaesthesia, followed by subsequent doses of 1000 mg at 8 hours intervals over 48 hours. Enterobacteria were significantly suppressed during the antimicrobial prophylaxis period while enterococci proliferated. There was a significant increase of staphylococci in 10 patients. Three of these patients developed post-operative infections with staphylococci. In the anaerobic microflora only minor changes were observed. The microflora was normalised in all patients after two weeks (Table 4).

De Vries-Hospers et al. (1984) studied the effect on the intestinal microflora of orally administered aztreonam in 10 volunteers with three regimens: 60, 300, and 1500 mg daily for five days. The enterobacteria decreased significantly in all patients. Patients given the highest dose had increased numbers of aztreonam resistant enterococci and yeast. The anaerobic intestinal microflora was not significantly affected. The faecal concentrations increased with the dose of aztreonam and varied between 0.1 and 100 mg/kg faeces (Table 4).

Jones et al. (1984) studied the impact of aztreonam on the intestinal microflora of 18 patients with haematological malignancies. Nine patients received 1000 mg aztreonam t.i.d. and nine patients received 2000 mg aztreonam t.i.d. for seven to nine days. There was a significant decrease in the aerobic Gram-negative rods during the administration of aztreonam. The impact on anaerobic bacteria was variable. Most isolates of

*Bacteroides* species persisted but all strains of *B. fragilis* were eliminated. Eight of 12 *Clostridium* strains disappeared in the patients receiving 2000 mg t.i.d. No *C. difficile* strains were isolated during the investigation period (Table 4).

Van der Waaij (1985) investigated the effect of oral administration of different dosages of aztreonam on the intestinal microflora in 10 volunteers. The aerobic Gram-negative flora was suppressed in most persons receiving 60 to 1500 mg aztreonam daily. The anaerobic microflora remained unchanged during and after treatment with doses of 60 to 300 mg/day while the highest daily dose of 1500 mg aztreonam caused a slight suppression of anaerobes in four volunteers (Table 4).

### Imipenem

The impact of imipenem/cilastatin treatment on colon microflora was studied by Nord et al. (1984). Ten patients received 500 mg imipenem combined with 500 mg cilastatin q.i.d. by intravenous infusion for 6 to 11 days. The numbers of enterobacteria and enterococci decreased slightly during the treatment period. There was also a minor decrease in the numbers of anaerobic cocci and *Bacteroides* during the treatment period. *C. difficile* or its cytotoxin was not present in faecal samples during or after imipenem therapy and no patient developed diarrhoea. No colonisation with imipenem-resistant bacteria was observed during the investigation period (Table 4).

In a study by Kager et al. (1989) imipenem/cilastatin were given intravenously, as prophylaxis, to twenty patients undergoing colorectal surgery. Ten patients received a dose of 500/500 mg and 10 patients were given

**Table 4:** Impact of monobactams and carbapenems on the intestinal microflora

Agent	Dose (mg/day)	Days of administration	Number of patients	Impact on:			Overgrowth of resistant strains		Reference
				Enterobacteria	Aerobic Gr <sup>+</sup> cocci	Anaerobic bacteria	Enterobacteria	<i>C. difficile</i>	
Aztreonam	1000x3	2	20	↓	↑	-	-	-	Kager et al., 1985
	60	5	10	↓	-	-	-	-	de Vries-Hospers et al., 1984
	300	5	10	↓↓	-	-	-	-	de Vries-Hospers et al., 1984
	1500	5	10	↓↓	↑	-	-	+	de Vries-Hospers et al., 1984
	1000x3	7-9	9	↓↓	-	-	-	-	Jones et al., 1984
	2000x3	7-9	9	↓↓	-	↓	-	-	Jones et al., 1984
	20x3	5	10	↓	-	-	-	-	van der Waaij et al., 1985
	100x3	5	10	↓↓	-	-	-	-	van der Waaij et al., 1985
	500x3	5	10	↓↓	-	-	-	-	van der Waaij et al., 1985
	500/500x4	6-11	10	↓	↓	↓	-	-	Nord et al., 1984
cilastatin	1000/1000x4	2	10	↓	-	↓↓	-	-	Kager et al., 1989
	500x3	7	10	↓	↑	↓	-	-	Bergan et al., 1991

↓↓: strong suppression, >4 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g faeces.

↓: mild to moderate suppression, 2-4 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g faeces.

↑: increase in number of microorganisms during therapy.

-: no significant change.

1000/1000 mg of imipenem/cilastatin every six hour for 48 hours. The aerobic intestinal bacteria were suppressed significantly during the imipenem prophylaxis period. Among the anaerobic bacteria, cocci, bifidobacteria, eubacteria, lactobacilli, clostridia, fusobacteria, and *Bacteroides* decreased markedly during the same period. The microflora was normalised after two weeks. There were no differences between the patients receiving different dose regimens of imipenem. No postoperative infections occurred (Table 4).

### **Meropenem**

*Bergan et al.* (1991) studied the effect of meropenem on the intestinal microflora of health volunteers. Ten subjects were given 500 mg meropenem by intravenous infusion over 30 min t.i.d. for seven days. The number of entero-

bacteria and streptococci decreased during the administration period, while the numbers of enterococci increased. There was a decrease in the number of clostridia, *Bacteroides* and Gram-negative cocci, while the numbers of Gram-positive cocci and rods were unchanged. The intestinal microflora returned to normal in all volunteers within two weeks after the termination of meropenem administration (Table 4).

### **Comments**

Administration of aztreonam resulted in elimination or strong suppression of intestinal enterobacteria. Imipenem and meropenem caused moderate reductions in the numbers of both aerobic and anaerobic bacteria. None of these agents were associated with colonisation of *C. difficile*.

## **IMPACT OF MACROLIDES ON INTESTINAL MICROFLORA**

### **Erythromycin**

*Heimdahl and Nord* (1982) studied the effect of erythromycin on the intestinal microflora in healthy volunteers. Ten subjects received 500 mg erythromycin b.i.d. for seven days. The number of enterobacteria was significantly suppressed in all subjects and enterococci and streptococci were eliminated in three subjects. In the anaerobic colon microflora *Bacteroides* strains were eliminated in four subjects, fusobacteria in three subjects, and *Veillonella* in two subjects. New colonisation with erythromycin resistant enterobacteria, staphylococci, or yeast occurred in all patients. New resistant clostridial strains colonised the colonic microflora in three subjects (Table 5).

### **Clarithromycin**

*Brismar et al.* (1991) compared the

effects of clarithromycin and erythromycin on the normal intestinal microflora. Ten healthy volunteers received 250 mg of clarithromycin orally b.i.d. for seven days, and ten other volunteers received 1000 mg of erythromycin ethylsuccinate orally b.i.d. for seven days. In the clarithromycin group, the numbers of streptococci and enterobacteria decreased while in the erythromycin group streptococci, enterococci and enterobacteria decreased and staphylococci increased during antibiotic administration. The anaerobic intestinal microflora was also affected. The alterations were more pronounced in the volunteers receiving erythromycin than in those having clarithromycin (Table 5).

### **Dirithromycin**

The impact of dirithromycin on the normal intestinal microflora was evalu-

**Table 5:** Impact of macrolides, tetracyclines, nitroimidazoles and clindamycin on the intestinal microflora

Agent	Dose (mg/day)	Days of administration	Number of patients	Impact on:			Overgrowth of resistant strains			Reference
				Enterobacteria	Aerobic Gr <sup>+</sup> cocci	Anaerobic bacteria	Enterobacteria	<i>C. diff.</i>	<i>Candida</i>	
Erythromycin	500x2	7	10	↓↓	↓	↓↓	+	-	+	<i>Heimdahl &amp; Nord, 1982</i>
	1000x2	7	10	↓	↓↑	↓	+	-	+	<i>Brismar et al., 1991</i>
Clarithromycin	250x2	7	10	↓	↓	-	+	-	-	<i>Brismar et al., 1991</i>
Dirithromycin	500x1	7	20	↓↓	↑	↓	+	-	-	<i>Eckernäs et al., 1991</i>
Roxithromycin	150x2	5	6	↓	-	-	-	-	-	<i>Pecquet et al., 1991</i>
Tetracycline	250x4	8-10	15	-	-	-	+	-	+	<i>Bartlett et al., 1975</i>
Doxycycline	200+100x1*	8-10	15	-	↑	-	-	-	+	<i>Bartlett et al., 1975</i>
	100x1	7	10	↓	↓	-	+	-	-	<i>Heimdahl &amp; Nord, 1983</i>
Metronidazole	400x3	5-7	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	<i>Nord, 1990</i>
Tinidazole	150x2	7	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	<i>Heimdahl et al., 1980</i>
	800+400x2**	2	20	-	↑	↓	-	-	-	<i>Kager et al., 1981b</i>
Clindamycin	150x4	7	10	-	↑	↓↓	-	+	-	<i>Heimdahl &amp; Nord, 1982</i>
	600x3	3	15	-	↓↑	↓↓	-	+	-	<i>Kager et al., 1981c</i>

↓↓: strong suppression, >4 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g faeces.

↓: mild to moderate suppression, 2-4 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g faeces.

↑: increase in number of microorganisms during therapy.

-: no significant change.

\*: 200 mg was given as a loading dose.

\*\* : 800 mg was given as a loading dose.

ated by *Eckernäs et al.* (1991). Twenty healthy volunteers received 500 mg of dirithromycin orally once daily for seven days. The numbers of enterobacteria decreased significantly in the aerobic intestinal microflora, while streptococci and staphylococci increased. New colonising dirithromycin resistant enterobacteria were isolated during and after treatment. In the anaerobic microflora, the numbers of Gram-positive cocci, bifidobacteria, eubacteria and *Bacteroides* decreased, while the number of clostridia and lactobacilli increased (Table 5).

### **Roxithromycin**

The ecological impact on the intestinal microflora of six volunteers when roxithromycin was given orally 150 mg twice every day for five days was stud-

ied by *Pecquet et al.* (1991). The faecal concentrations of active roxithromycin were in the range of 100 to 200 mg/kg faeces. The total number of enterobacteria decreased, while the rest of the aerobic and the anaerobic microflora was only affected to a minor degree. No overgrowth of roxithromycin resistant microorganisms was observed (Table 5).

### **Comments**

Administration of macrolides resulted in suppression of both the aerobic and anaerobic intestinal microflora as well as in overgrowth of resistant microorganisms. Erythromycin and dirithromycin seemed to cause greater ecological alterations in the intestinal microflora compared to roxithromycin.

## **IMPACT OF TETRACYCLINES ON INTESTINAL MICROFLORA**

*Bartlett et al.* (1975) compared the effect of tetracycline and doxycycline of the aerobic and anaerobic faecal flora in 30 healthy volunteers. Fifteen volunteers received 200 mg doxycycline the first day followed by 100 mg in a single daily dose for eight to 10 days. The other 15 subjects received tetracycline hydrochloride (250 mg q.i.d.) for eight to 10 days. Neither tetracycline hydrochloride nor doxycycline had a major impact on the total numbers of aerobic or anaerobic bacteria. Nine subjects acquired new aerobic strains during antibiotic administration. In the volunteers receiving tetracycline, enterococci, *Citrobacter freundii*, and *C. albicans* were recovered and, in those volunteers taking doxycycline, *S. aureus*, enterococci, and *C. albicans* were found. Patients receiving tetracycline hydrochloride had a mean increase of  $10^4$  resistant *E. coli* strains/g faeces compared to  $10^1$  resistant *E. coli* strains/g faeces in pa-

tients receiving doxycycline. This difference between the two tetracyclines was significant (Table 5).

The influence of doxycycline on the colon microflora was investigated by *Heimdahl and Nord* (1983). Ten volunteers received doxycycline orally in doses of 100 mg once daily for seven days. The number of enterococci and streptococci decreased 23 log cycles in eight volunteers and the number of enterobacteria also decreased 2-3 log cycles in five volunteers. Three subjects were colonised by new doxycycline resistant strains such as *K. pneumoniae*, *Proteus mirabilis*, and *E. cloacae*. Among the anaerobic bacteria, fusobacteria were eliminated during the administration period. A marked emergence of resistance to doxycycline among both aerobic and anaerobic bacteria in the colon microflora was observed (Table 5).

### Comments

Administration of tetracycline and doxycycline caused no or only minor suppression of the normal intestinal mi-

croflora. However, both agents led to a major overgrowth of resistant aerobic and anaerobic microorganisms during the administration period.

## IMPACT OF NITROIMIDAZOLES ON INTESTINAL MICROFLORA

### Metronidazole

Metronidazole was given orally to 10 patients as tablets in a dose of 400 mg t.i.d. for 5-7 days (Nord, 1993). The aerobic microorganisms were only slightly affected during and after the treatment. Only minor changes in the anaerobic microflora occurred at the same period. The microflora was normalised in all patients after the treatment was terminated (Table 5).

### Tinidazole

Heimdahl et al. (1980) investigated the effect of orally administered tinidazole on the intestinal microflora. Tinidazole was given in doses of 150 mg b.i.d. for seven days to 10 volunteers. No tinidazole was detected in the faecal samples and no changes in the colon microflora were noticed (Table 5).

Kager et al. (1981b) investigated the

impact of tinidazole prophylaxis on the intestinal microflora in patients undergoing colorectal surgery. Tinidazole was given intravenously to 20 patients in an initial dose of 800 mg given at the induction of anaesthesia and then in doses of 400 mg every 12 h for two days. Staphylococci and enterococci proliferated during the tinidazole prophylaxis period while anaerobic bacteria decreased significantly. No bacterial strains resistant to tinidazole were recovered (Table 5).

### Comments

Orally administered metronidazole and tinidazole did not cause any significant alterations in the intestinal microflora while parenterally administered tinidazole reduced the number of anaerobic bacteria and caused overgrowth of staphylococci and enterococci.

## IMPACT OF CLINDAMYCIN ON INTESTINAL MICROFLORA

Heimdahl and Nord (1982) investigated the effect of orally administered clindamycin on the intestinal microflora. Clindamycin capsules (150 mg) were given to 10 subjects q.i.d. for seven days. Pronounced changes in the aerobic and anaerobic intestinal microflora occurred. Among the aerobes clindamycin-resistant enterococci proliferated and among the anaerobes the number of cocci and Gram-negative rods significantly decreased. In four volunteers, clindamycin-resistant clostridia were recovered and one of the volunteers developed *C. difficile*-associated diarrhoea (Table 5).

Kager et al. (1981c) studied the effect of clindamycin prophylaxis on the colon microflora in 15 patients undergoing colorectal surgery. An initial dose of 600 mg clindamycin was given as a short-term infusion during the induction of anaesthesia followed by six subsequent doses of 600 mg at eight hours intervals. Enterococci and streptococci decreased postoperatively during the first two days and then proliferated during the following three days. In the anaerobic colon flora anaerobic cocci, Gram-positive rods, and Gram-negative rods decreased significantly. The aerobic and anaerobic colon microflora was

normalised in most patients after two weeks (Table 5).

### Comments

The numbers of anaerobic intestinal

microorganisms were strongly suppressed during administration of clindamycin. Resistant clostridia and enterococci were frequently isolated.

## IMPACT OF QUINOLONES ON INTESTINAL MICROFLORA

### Ciprofloxacin

The effect of ciprofloxacin on the intestinal microflora were tested in 12 male healthy subjects taking 500 mg of ciprofloxacin orally bid for seven days by *Brumfitt et al.* (1984) In the aerobic colon microflora enterobacteria were eliminated on day seven, and the numbers of streptococci and staphylococci were significantly reduced. Anaerobic bacteria were little affected quantitatively but acquired resistance to ciprofloxacin. One week later the colonic microflora had returned to a state similar to that found before treatment (Table 6).

In another investigation ciprofloxacin was given in a dose of 500 mg b.i.d. to 15 patients with acute leukaemia during remission induction treatment for a mean duration of 42 days (*Rozenberg-Arska et al.*, 1985). Enterobacteria were eliminated within three to five days. *Bacteroides* and *Clostridium* species were not affected, but the numbers of anaerobic non-sporeforming Gram-positive rods and anaerobic cocci were decreased. Nine ciprofloxacin-resistant *Pseudomonas* and *Acinetobacter* species were recovered but without colonisation or subsequent infection. Four of the five infections in the patients were caused by Gram-positive cocci (Table 6).

The colonic microflora in 12 volunteers receiving 400 mg ciprofloxacin orally b.i.d. for seven days were studied by *Enzensberger et al.* (1985). *E. coli* was eliminated in all volunteers after two days of treatment. No selection of resistant enterobacteria could be observed. Anaerobic bacteria were not significantly affected and there was no

selection of *C. difficile* strains (Table 6).

The pharmacokinetics of ciprofloxacin and the effect of repeated dosages on the colon microflora in volunteers were investigated by *Bergan et al.* (1986). Twelve volunteers received 500 mg ciprofloxacin tablets b.i.d. for five days. The numbers of enterobacteria and enterococci decreased markedly, whereas the changes in the anaerobic microflora were minor. The colon microflora became normalised within fourteen days after the drug was discontinued. No new colonisation of ciprofloxacin-resistant bacteria was observed (Table 6).

The impact of ciprofloxacin on the intestinal microflora with regard to colonisation resistance was investigated by *van Saene et al.* (1986). Twelve volunteers received 50 mg ciprofloxacin q.i.d. for six days. In all volunteers enterobacteria were eliminated from faeces while the number of enterococci were slightly affected. A minor increase of *Candida* spp. was noticed. No new ciprofloxacin resistant bacteria were recovered. One week after treatment the flora had returned to normal (Table 6).

*Holt et al.* (1986) studied the effect of ciprofloxacin on the faecal microflora of six volunteers. The volunteers received 500 mg ciprofloxacin daily for five days. There was a marked reduction of enterobacteria in all volunteers during the administration period. Two volunteers were colonised by resistant coagulase-negative staphylococci or corynebacteria. The total counts of an-

**Table 6:** Impact of quinolones on the intestinal microflora

Agent	Dose (mg/day)	Days of administration	Number of patients	Impact on:			Overgrowth of resistant strains		Reference
				Enterobacteria	Aerobic Gr <sup>+</sup> cocci	Anaerobic bacteria	Enterobacteria	<i>C. diff-icile</i>	
Ciprofloxacin	500x2	7	12	↓↓	↓	-	-	-	Brumfitt et al., 1984
	500x2	mean 42	15	↓↓	-	↓	+	-	Rozenberg-Arska et al., 1985
	400x2	7	12	↓↓	-	-	-	-	Enzenberger et al., 1985
	500x2	5	12	↓↓	↓	-	-	-	Bergan et al., 1986
	50x4	6	12	↓↓	↓	-	-	+	van Saene et al., 1986
	500x1	5	6	↓↓	-	-	-	-	Holt et al., 1986
	250x2	5-10	7	↓↓	-	↓	-	-	Esposito et al., 1987
	500x1	5-10	7	↓↓	-	↓	-	-	Esposito et al., 1987
	500x2	5	14	↓↓	↓	-	-	-	Ljungberg et al., 1990
	750x2+400x2	2	21	↓↓	↓	-	-	-	Brismar et al., 1990
	250x2	3	17	↓↓	-	-	-	-	Wiström et al., 1992
	400x2	7	10	↓↓	-	-	-	+	Edlund et al., 1987a
	Norfloxacin	200x1	7	10	↓↓	-	-	-	-
400x2		7	10	↓↓	-	-	-	-	Meckenstock et al., 1985
100x2		5	10	↓↓	-	-	-	-	de Vries-Hospers et al., 1985
200x2		5	10	↓↓	-	-	-	-	de Vries-Hospers et al., 1985
400x2		5	10	↓↓	↓	-	-	-	de Vries-Hospers et al., 1985
400x2		8	10	↓↓	-	-	-	-	Leigh et al., 1985
200x2		5	6	↓↓	-	-	-	-	Pecquet et al., 1986
400x2		5	6	↓↓	↓	-	-	-	Pecquet et al., 1986
200x2		7	10	↓↓	-	-	-	-	Edlund et al., 1988
Ofloxacin		200x2	5	5	↓↓	↓	-	-	+
	400x1	1	24	↓↓	↓	↓	-	-	Edlund et al., 1988
	400x2	7	15	↓↓	-	-	-	-	van Saene et al., 1986
Lomefloxacin	400x1	7	10	↓↓	-	-	-	-	Edlund et al., 1990

↓↓: strong suppression, >4 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g faeces.

↓: mild to moderate suppression, 2-4 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g faeces.

↑: increase in number of microorganisms during therapy.

-: no significant change.

aerobic bacteria were almost unaffected during the administration period (Table 6).

*Esposito et al.* (1987) studied the alterations in the intestinal microflora of 14 patients with liver cirrhosis by ciprofloxacin therapy for intercurrent urinary tract infections or respiratory tract infections. The patients received 250 mg twice daily or 500 mg once daily. A marked decrease in enterobacteria was noticed with both doses. From day three to six of therapy enterobacteria disappeared completely and returned to normal levels two weeks after termination of treatment. No changes in the aerobic Gram-positive microflora or the anaerobic microflora were noticed. Two patients were colonised by *C. albicans* during therapy (Table 6).

The effect of ciprofloxacin on the intestinal microflora in young and elderly volunteers were studied by *Ljungberg et al.* (1990). Seven young and seven elderly, healthy volunteers received 500 mg ciprofloxacin b.i.d. for five days. The number of enterococci, streptococci, staphylococci, and enterobacteria decreased markedly in both age groups. The effects on the anaerobic bacteria were less pronounced. Despite larger absolute bio-availability of the first dose in the elderly (77% vs. 63%;  $p < 0.05$ ), the effect of ciprofloxacin on the microflora was similar in the two groups of volunteers (Table 6).

*Brismar et al.* (1990) investigated the effect of ciprofloxacin on the colonic microflora in patients undergoing colorectal surgery. Ciprofloxacin was given orally in two doses of 750 mg each with a 12-h interval starting 24 h prior to surgery, 400 mg of ciprofloxacin was given intravenously at the induction of anaesthesia, and 400 mg of ciprofloxacin was given 12 h later to 21 patients undergoing elective colorectal surgery. During the ciprofloxacin administration period, the numbers of streptococci,

enterococci, and enterobacteria decreased markedly. In the anaerobic microflora both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria were suppressed during the first three days. No postoperative infections occurred (Table 6).

The ecological effects of three days ciprofloxacin treatment (250 mg b.i.d.) of travellers' diarrhoea in 17 patients travelling to Mexico were studied by *Wiström et al.* (1992). A significant suppression of enterobacteria was observed and a minor increase in the numbers of anaerobic cocci and bifidobacteria was found two to three days after treatment, compared with placebo treated and asymptomatic travellers. The mean time to cure was 26 h for ciprofloxacin and 60 h for placebo-treated patients ( $p = 0.03$ ) (Table 6).

### **Enoxacin**

*Edlund et al.* (1987a) studied the effect of enoxacin on the intestinal microflora of ten healthy volunteers. The subjects received 400 mg enoxacin orally b.i.d. for seven days. Enterobacteria was strongly suppressed in numbers during the enoxacin administration, while enterococci, streptococci, staphylococci, micrococci, and *Bacillus* spp. were not significantly affected. Low numbers of yeast, mostly *C. albicans*, were detected during the administration period. The anaerobic flora was only slightly affected by the administration of enoxacin. No emergence of resistance was noticed during the investigation period. The intestinal microflora became normal within two weeks after withdrawal of enoxacin. The mean concentration of enoxacin on day seven was 348 mg/kg faeces (Table 6).

### **Norfloxacin**

*Meckenstock et al.* (1985) investigated the effect of norfloxacin on the faecal flora of ten healthy volunteers.

The volunteers were given 200 mg once daily or 400 mg b.i.d. for seven days with an appropriate interval between the two treatment periods. The Gram-negative aerobic microflora was eliminated by the higher dose and strongly suppressed by the lower dose, while enterococci and anaerobic bacteria were not markedly affected (Table 6).

*De Vries-Hospers et al.* (1985) evaluated selective decontamination of the intestinal microflora by administration of norfloxacin. Ten healthy volunteers received three different dosages of norfloxacin, 100 mg, 200 mg and 400 mg b.i.d., for five days. Aerobic Gram-negative rods were eliminated from the faecal samples with all the three dosages tested. Enterococci tended to decrease during the administration period. No major changes in the anaerobic microflora were seen (Table 6).

The pharmacokinetics of norfloxacin and the effect on the faecal flora was studied by *Leigh et al.* (1985). Ten healthy volunteers were given 400 mg twice daily for a total of 15 doses. Gram-negative aerobic bacteria were eliminated but there was no effect on the anaerobic bacteria. Replacement with Gram-positive organisms was seen frequently but re-establishment of the normal faecal flora was found 14 days after treatment had stopped. No resistant strains of Gram-negative aerobic bacteria were detected (Table 6).

*Pecquet et al.* (1986) studied selective decontamination of the digestive tract by norfloxacin. Twelve human volunteers were treated with 400 mg or 800 mg of oral norfloxacin daily for five days. Enterobacteria were eliminated while streptococci were partly suppressed. The anaerobic intestinal microflora was not affected by administration of norfloxacin (Table 6).

*Edlund et al.* (1987b) studied the impact of norfloxacin on the intestinal microflora and its multiple-dose pharma-

cokinetics. Ten healthy volunteers were given 200 mg norfloxacin orally b.i.d. for seven days. The number of enterobacteria was strongly depressed while only minor changes in the aerobic Gram-positive flora were observed. The anaerobic colonic flora was not significantly affected (Table 6).

### **Ofloxacin**

The impact of ofloxacin on the intestinal microflora in human volunteers was investigated by *Pecquet et al.* (1987). Five volunteers were given 400 mg ofloxacin daily for five days. Enterobacteria were eliminated in faeces four days after the treatment had started. Six days after the end of ofloxacin administration, the enterobacteria had not yet returned to pre-treatment levels. Enterococci decreased significantly during ofloxacin treatment, but increased again to pre-treatment numbers within four days after the end of treatment. All five volunteers were colonised by low numbers of *Candida* spp. after four days of treatment. The number of anaerobic bacteria were not significantly affected (Table 6).

*Edlund et al.* (1988) evaluated the effect of ofloxacin on the intestinal microflora in 24 patients undergoing gastric surgery. A single oral dose of 400 mg ofloxacin was given to each patient two to four hours before surgery. Enterobacteria were eliminated in 12 patients and strongly suppressed in eight patients. The numbers of enterococci, lactobacilli, bifidobacteria, eubacteria, *Veillonella* and *Bacteroides* were also suppressed. Anaerobic cocci and clostridia remained unaffected during the investigation period. The intestinal microflora returned to normal four weeks after the administration of ofloxacin (Table 6).

### **Pefloxacin**

The effect of pefloxacin on the intes-

tinal flora in human volunteers with regard to colonisation resistance was studied by *van Saene et al.* (1986). Fifteen healthy volunteers received 400 mg pefloxacin tablets bid for seven days. Enterobacteria were eliminated in all subjects three days after the first dose. Recolonisation with enterobacteria was seen one week after the end of administration. *E. faecalis* decreased slightly in numbers while *Candida* spp. did not change during the observation period. The anaerobic microflora was not affected by pefloxacin administration (Table 6).

The influence of pefloxacin, 400 mg b.i.d. for ten days on microbial colonisation resistance in six health volunteers was investigated by *Vollaard et al.* (1992). There was an elimination or strong reduction in the numbers of enterobacteria during the administration period while enterococci decreased slightly in numbers. In three volunteers impairment of colonisation resistance was indicated by a significant increase in the faecal concentration of yeasts (Table 6).

### **Lomefloxacin**

The influence of lomefloxacin on the intestinal microflora was studied by *Edlund et al.* (1990). Ten volunteers were given 400 mg lomefloxacin orally once daily for 7 days. The numbers of enterobacteria were strongly reduced or eliminated on days 2-9, while the aerobic Gram-positive microflora did not alter in number during the investigation period. In the anaerobic intestinal microflora only minor changes were seen. The intestinal microflora was normalised two weeks after administration of lomefloxacin had stopped (Table 6).

### **Comments**

Administration of quinolones resulted in elimination or strong suppression of intestinal enterobacteria. Ciprofloxacin and ofloxacin also affected enterococci and anaerobic microorganisms to a minor degree. The quinolones did not induce overgrowth of resistant bacteria or yeasts.

## **LITERATURE**

- Alestig, K., Carlberg, H., Nord, C.E., and Trollfors, B.: Effect of cefoperazone on faecal flora. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 12, 163-167 (1983).
- Bächer, K., Schaeffer, M., Lode, H., Nord, C.E., Borner, K., and Koeppe, P.: Multiple dose pharmacokinetics, safety, and effects on faecal microflora, of cefepime in healthy volunteers. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 30, 365-375 (1992).
- Bartlett, J.B., Bustetter, L.A., Gorbach, S.L., and Onderdonk, A.B.: Comparative effect of tetracycline and doxycycline on the occurrence of resistant *Escherichia coli* in the faecal flora. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 7, 55-57 (1975).
- Bergan, T., Delin, C., Johansen, S., Kolstad, I.M., Nord, C.E., and Thorsteinsson, S.B.: Pharmacokinetics of ciprofloxacin and effect of repeated dosage on salivary faecal microflora. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 29, 298-302 (1986).
- Bergan, T., Nord, C.E., and Thorsteinsson, S.B.: Effect of meropenem on the intestinal microflora. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 10, 524-527 (1991).
- Brismar, B., Edlund, C., Malmberg, A.S., and Nord, C.E.: Ciprofloxacin concentrations and impact of the colon microflora in patients undergoing colorectal surgery. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 34, 481-483 (1990).
- Brismar, B., Edlund, C., and Nord, C.E.: Comparative effects of clarithromycin and erythromycin on the normal intestinal microflora. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* 23, 635-642 (1991).
- Brismar, B., Edlund, C., and Nord, C.E.: Im-

- fect of cefpodoxime proxetil and amoxicillin on the normal oral and intestinal microflora. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 12, 714-719 (1993a).
- Brismar, B., Edlund, C., and Nord, C.E.: Effect of ceftibuten on the normal intestinal microflora. *Infection* 21, 373-375 (1993b).
- Brumfitt, W., Franklin, I., Grady, D., Hamilton-Miller, J.M.T., and Iliffe, A.: Changes in the pharmacokinetics of ciprofloxacin and fecal flora during administration of a 7-day course to human volunteers. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 26, 757-761 (1984).
- Brumfitt, W., Franklin, I., Grady, D., and Hamilton, J.M.T.: Effect of amoxicillin-clavulanate and cephadrine on the fecal flora of healthy volunteers not exposed to a hospital environment. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 30, 335-337 (1986).
- Cavallaro, V., Catania, V., Bonaccorso, R., Mazzone, S., Speciale, A., Di Marco, R., Blandino, G., and Caccamo, F.: Effect of a broad-spectrum cephalosporin on the oral and intestinal microflora in patients undergoing colorectal surgery. *J. Chemother.* 4, 82-87 (1992).
- Christensson, B., Nilsson-Ehle, I., Ljungberg, B., et al. (Swedish Study Group). A randomized multicenter trial to compare the influence of cefaclor and amoxicillin on the colonization resistance of the digestive tract in patients with lower respiratory tract infection. *Infection* 19, 208-215 (1991).
- de Vries-Hospers, H.G., Welling, G.W., Swabb, E.A., and van der Waaij, D.: Selective decontamination of the digestive tract with aztreonam. A study of 10 healthy volunteers. *J. Infect. Dis.* 150, 636-642 (1984).
- de Vries-Hospers, H.G., Welling, G.W., Swabb, E.A., and van der Waaij, D.: Norfloxacin for selective decontamination. A study in human volunteers. *Progr. Clin. Biol. Res.* 181, 259-262 (1985).
- Eckernäs, S.Å., Grahnén, A., and Nord, C.E.: Impact of dirithromycin on the normal oral and intestinal microflora. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 10, 688-692 (1991).
- Edlund, C., Lidbeck, A., Kager, L., and Nord, C.E.: Effect of enoxacin on colonic microflora of healthy volunteers. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol.* 6, 298-300 (1987a).
- Edlund, C., Bergan, T., Josefsson, K., Solberg, R., and Nord, C.E.: Effect of norfloxacin on human oropharyngeal and colonic microflora and multiple-dose pharmacokinetics. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* 19, 113-121 (1987b).
- Edlund, C., Kager, L., Malmberg, A.S., Sjöstedt, S., and Nord, C.E.: Effect of ofloxacin on oral and gastrointestinal microflora in patients undergoing colorectal surgery. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 7, 135-143 (1988).
- Edlund, C., Brismar, B., and Nord, C.E.: Effect of lomefloxacin on the normal oral and intestinal microflora. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 9, 35-39 (1990).
- Edlund, C. and Nord, C.E.: A model of bacterial-antimicrobial interactions: The case of oropharyngeal and gastrointestinal microflora. *J. Chemother.* 3, Suppl. 1, 196-200 (1991).
- Edlund, C., Brismar, B., Sakamoto, H., and Nord, C.E.: Impact of cefuroxime-axetil on the normal intestinal microflora. *Microb. Ecol. Health Dis.* 6, 185-189 (1993).
- Enzenberger, R., Shah, P.M., and Knothe, H.: Impact of oral ciprofloxacin on the faecal flora of healthy volunteers. *Infection* 13, 273-275 (1985).
- Esposito, S., Barba, D., Galante, D., Gaeta, G.B., and Laghezza, O.: Intestinal microflora changes induced by ciprofloxacin and treatment of portal-systemic encephalopathy. *Drugs Exptl. Clin. Res.* 10, 641-646 (1987).
- Finegold, S.M., Ingram-Drake, L., Gee, R., Reinhardt, J., Edelstein, M.A., MacDonald, K., and Wexler, H.: Bowel flora changes in humans receiving cefixime (CL 248, 635) or cefaclor. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 31, 443-446 (1987).
- Gipponi, M., Sciutto, C., Accornero, L., Bonassi, S., Raso, C., Vignolo, C., and Cafiero, F.: Assessing modifications of the intestinal bacterial flora in patients on long-term oral treatment with bacampicillin or amoxicillin: A random study. *Chimioterapia* 4, 214-217 (1985).
- Guggenbichler, J.P. and Kofler, J.: Influence of third generation cephalosporins on aerobic intestinal microflora. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 14, 67-70 (1984).
- Heimdahl, A. and Nord, C.E.: Effect of phenoxymethyl-penicillin and clindamycin on the oral, throat and faecal microflora on

- man. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* 11, 233-242 (1979a).
- Heimdahl, A. and Nord, C.E.: Effect of erythromycin and clindamycin on the indigenous human anaerobic flora and new colonization of the gastrointestinal tract. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol.* 1, 34-48 (1982).
- Heimdahl, A. and Nord, C.E.: Influence of doxycycline on the normal human flora and colonization of the oral cavity and colon. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* 15, 293-302 (1983).
- Heimdahl, A., Nord, C.E., and Weiland, K.: Effect of bacampicillin on human mouth, throat and colon flora. *Infection* 7, S446-451 (1979b).
- Heimdahl, A., Nord, C.E., and Okuda, K.: Effect of tinidazole on the oral throat and colon microflora of man. *Med. Microbiol. Immunol.* 168, 1-10 (1980).
- Holt, H.A., Lewis, D.A., White, L.O., Bastable, S.Y., Reeves, D.S.: Effect of oral ciprofloxacin on the faecal flora of healthy volunteers. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol.* 5, 201-205 (1986).
- Jones, P.G., Bodey, G.P., Swabb, E.A., and Rosenbaum, B.: Effect of aztreonam on throat and stool flora of cancer patients. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 26, 941-943 (1984).
- Kager, L., Ljungdahl, I., Malmberg, A.S., Nord, C.E., Pieper, R., and Dahlgren, P.: Antibiotic prophylaxis with cefoxitin in colorectal surgery. *Ann. Surg.* 193, 277-282 (1981a).
- Kager, L., Ljungdahl, I., Malmberg, A.S., and Nord, C.E.: Effect of tinidazole prophylaxis on the normal microflora in patients undergoing colorectal surgery. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* 26, 84-91 (1981b).
- Kager, L., Liljeqvist, L., Malmberg, A.S., and Nord, C.E.: Effect of clindamycin prophylaxis on the colonic microflora in patients undergoing colorectal surgery. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 20, 736-740 (1981c).
- Kager, L., Liljeqvist, L., Malmberg, A.S., Nord, C.E., and Pieper, R.: Effects of ampicillin plus sulbactam on bowel flora in patients undergoing colorectal surgery. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 22, 208-212 (1982).
- Kager, L., Malmberg, A.S., Sjöstedt, S., and Nord, C.E.: Concentrations of ampicillin plus sulbactam in serum and intestinal mucosa and effects on the colonic microflora in patients undergoing colorectal surgery. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2, 559-563 (1983a).
- Kager, L., Malmberg, A.S., Nord, C.E., and Sjöstedt, S.: The effect of piperacillin prophylaxis on the colonic microflora in patients undergoing colorectal surgery. *Infection* 11, 251-254 (1983b).
- Kager, L., Malmberg, A.S., Nord, C.E., and Sjöstedt, S.: Impact of single dose as compared to three dose prophylaxis with moxalactam on the colonic microflora in patients undergoing colorectal surgery. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 14, 171-177 (1984).
- Kager, L., Brismar, B., Malmberg, A.S., and Nord, C.E.: Effect of aztreonam on the colon microflora in patients undergoing colorectal surgery. *Infection* 13, 111-114 (1985).
- Kager, L., Brismar, B., Malmberg, A.S., and Nord, C.E.: Impact of cefbuperazone on the colonic microflora in patients undergoing colorectal surgery. *Drugs Exptl. Clin. Res.* 12, 983-986 (1986).
- Kager, L., Brismar, B., Malmberg, A.S., and Nord, C.E.: Imipenem concentrations in colorectal surgery and impact on the colonic microflora. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 33, 204-208 (1989).
- Knothe, H. and Wiedemann, B.: Die Wirkung von Ampicillin auf die Darmflora des gesunden Menschen. *Zentralbl. Bakteriol. Hyg. I Abt. A* 197, 234-243 (1965).
- Knothe, H. and Lembke, U.: The effect of ampicillin and pivampicillin on the intestinal microflora of man. *Zentralbl. Bakteriol. Hyg. I Abt. A* 223, 324-332 (1973).
- Knothe H.: The effect of pivmecillinam on the human gut flora. *Arzneimittel Forschung (Drug Res.)* 26, 427-430 (1976).
- Knothe, H., Dette, G.A., and Shah, P.M.: Impact of injectable cephalosporins on the gastrointestinal microflora. Observations in healthy volunteers and hospitalized patients. *Infection* 13, S129-133 (1985).
- Lambert-Zechovsky, N., Bingen, E., Proux, M.C., Aujard, Y., and Mathieu, H.: Effect of amoxicillin combined with clavulanic acid on the fecal flora of children. *Pathol. Biol.* 32, 436-442 (1984a).
- Lambert-Zechovsky, N., Bingen, E., Proux, M.C., Aujard, Y., and Mathieu, H.: Effects of cefoperazone on children's fecal flora. *Pathol. Biol.* 32, 439-442 (1984b).
- Lambert-Zechovsky, N., Bingen, E., Aujard,

- Y., and Mathieu, H.: Impact of cefotaxime on the fecal flora in children. *Infection* 13, S140-144 (1985).
- Leigh, D.A.: Pharmacology and toxicological studies with amoxycillin, talampicillin and ampicillin and a clinical trial of parenteral amoxycillin in serious hospital infections. *Drugs Exptl. Clin. Res.* 5, 129-139 (1979).
- Leigh, D.A., Emmanuel, F.X.S., Tighe, C., Hancock, P., and Boddy, S.: Pharmacokinetic studies of norfloxacin in healthy volunteers and effect on the faecal flora. *Proc. 14th Int. Cong. Chemother. Kyoto 1835-1836* (1985).
- Ljungberg, B., Nilsson-Ehle, I., Edlund, C., and Nord, C.E.: Influence of ciprofloxacin on the colonic microflora in young and elderly volunteers: No impact of the altered drug absorption. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* 22, 205-208 (1990).
- Lode, H., Müller, C., Borner, K., Nord, C.E., and Koeppe, P.: Multiple-dose pharmacokinetics of cefprozil and its impact on intestinal flora of volunteers. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 36, 144-149 (1992).
- Meckenstock, R., Haralambie, E., Linzenmeier, G., and Wendt, F.: Die Beeinflussung der Darmflora durch Norfloxacin bei gesunden Menschen. *Z. Antimikr. Antineoplast. Chemother.* 1, 27-34 (1985).
- Mittermayer, H.W.: The effect of amoxycillin and amoxycillin plus clavulanic acid on human bowel flora. In: *Augmentin: Clavulanate-potentiated amoxycillin* (Eds.: Croydon, E.A.P. and Michel, M.F.). 125-133 (1983).
- Motohiro, T., Tanaka, K., Koga, T., Shimada, Y., Tomita, N., Sakata, Y., Fujimoto, T., Nishiyama, T., Kuda, N., Ishimoto, K., et al.: Effect of BRL 25000 (Clavulanic-amoxycillin) on bacterial flora in human feces. *Jpn. J. Antibiot.* 38, 441-450 (1985).
- Mulligan, M.E., Citron, D., Gabay, E., Kirby, B.D., George, W.L., and Finegold, S.M.: Alterations in human fecal flora including ingrowth of *Clostridium difficile* related to cefoxitin therapy. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 26, 343-346 (1984).
- Nilsson-Ehle, I., Nord, C.E., and Ursing, B.: Ceftriaxone: Pharmacokinetics and effect on the intestinal microflora in patients with acute bacterial infections. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* 17, 77-82 (1985).
- Nord, C.E.: Ecological impact of narrow spectrum antimicrobial agents compared to broad spectrum agents on the intestinal human microflora. In: *Old Herborn university seminar monograph: Consequences of anti-microbial therapy for the composition of the micro-flora of the digestive tract.* (Eds.: Nord, C.E., Heidt, P.J., Rusch, V.C., and van der Waaij, D.). Institute for Microbiology and Biochemistry, Herborn-Dill, 8-19 (1993).
- Nord, C.E., Kager, L., Philipson, A., and Stiernstedt, G.: Impact of imipenem/cilastatin therapy on faecal flora. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol.* 3, 475-477 (1984).
- Nord, C.E., Bergan, T., and Aase, S.: Impact of azlocillin on the colon microflora. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* 18, 163-166 (1986).
- Nord, C.E., Heimdahl, A., Lundberg, C., and Marklund, G.: Impact of cefaclor on the normal human oropharyngeal and intestinal microflora. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* 19, 681-685 (1987).
- Nord, C.E., Movin, G., and Stålberg, D.: Impact of cefixime on the normal intestinal microflora. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* 20, 547-552 (1988).
- Nord, C.E., Bergan, T., and Thorsteinsson, S.B.: Impact of ticarcillin/clavulanate on the intestinal microflora. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 24, Suppl B, 221-226 (1989).
- Nord, C.E. and Edlund, C.: Impact of antimicrobial agents on human intestinal microflora. *J. Chemother.* 2, 218-237 (1990).
- Nord, C.E., Grahnén, A., and Eckernäs, S.-Å.: Effect of loracarbef on the normal oropharyngeal and intestinal microflora. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* 23, 255-260 (1991).
- Nord, C.E., Brismar, B., Kasholm-Tengve, B., and Tunevall, G.: Effect of piperacillin/tazobactam treatment on human bowel microflora. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 31, Suppl A, 61-65 (1993).
- Pecquet, S., Andreumont, A., and Tancrede, C.: Selective antimicrobial modulation of the intestinal tract by norfloxacin in human volunteers and in gnotobiotic mice associated with human faecal flora. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 29, 1047-1052 (1986).
- Pecquet, S., Andreumont, A., and Tancrede, C.: Effect of ofloxacin on fecal bacteria in human volunteers. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 31, 124-125 (1987).
- Pecquet, S., Chachaty, E., Tancrede, C., and Andreumont, A.: Effects of roxithromycin

- on fecal bacteria in human volunteers and resistance to colonization in gnotobiotic mice. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 35, 548-552 (1991).
- Rozenberg-Arska, M., Dekker, A.W., and Verhoef, J.: Ciprofloxacin for selective decontamination of the alimentary tract in patients with acute leukemia during remission induction treatment: The effect on fecal flora. *J. Infect. Dis.* 152, 104-107 (1985).
- van der Waaij D.: Selective decontamination of the digestive tract with oral aztreonam and temocillin. *Rev. Infect. Dis.* 7, S628-S634 (1985).
- van der Waaij, D., de Vries-Hospers, H.G., and Welling, G.W.: The influence of antibiotics on gut colonisation. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 18, Suppl. C, 155-158 (1986).
- van Saene, J.J.M., van Saene, H.K.F., Geitz, J.N., Tarko-Smit, N.J., Lerk, C.F.: Quinolones and colonization resistance in human volunteers. *Pharm. Weekbl. Sci.* 8, 67-71 (1986).
- Vogel, F., and Knothe, H.: Changes in aerobic faecal flora of severely ill patients during antibiotic treatment. *Klin. Wochenschr.* 63, 1174-1179 (1985).
- Vollaard, E.J., Clasener, H.A.L., and Janssen, A.J.H.M.: Influence of pefloxacin on microbial colonization resistance in healthy volunteers. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 11, 257-260 (1992).
- Welling, G.W., Meijer-Severs, G.J., Helmus, G., van Santen, E., Tonk, R.H., de Vries-Hospers, H.G., and van der Waaij, D.: The effect of ceftriaxone on the anaerobic bacterial flora and the bacterial enzymatic activity in the intestinal tract. *Infection* 19, 313-316 (1991).
- Wise, R., Bennet, S.A., and Dent, J.: The pharmacokinetics of orally absorbed cefuroxime compared with amoxicillin/clavulanic acid. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 13, 603-610 (1984).
- Wiström, J., Gentry, L.O., Palmgren, A.C., Price, M., Nord, C.E., Ljungh, A., and Norrby, S.R.: Ecological effects of short-term ciprofloxacin treatment of travellers' diarrhoea. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 30, 693-706 (1992).